

THE EVALUATION OF ACOUSTIC CHARACTERISTIC PERFORMANCE ON  
NATURAL SOUND ABSORBING MATERIALS FROM COGON GRASS  
WASTE

MOHD NOR AZMI BIN AHMAD NORDIN

A thesis submitted in  
fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the  
Degree of Master of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering  
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2019

**“In the Name of Allah, The Most Gracious, The Most Merciful”**



**PTTA UTHM**  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

Special Dedicate To My Beloved Mother,

You Are A Source Of Inspiration,

Thank You For All Your Sacrifices,

May Allah Bless You Always.

To My Beloved Father,

All Your Advise Would Be A Guide In My Life,

Thanks For Everything.

And Other Family Members,

All Your Loves Always In My Heart.

And Not Forget To My Colleagues,

Our Spent Time Together Will Always Be Remember,

I Wish All Of You Best Of Luck In The Future.

Thanks To All.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, thanks to Allah, The Most Gracious and The Most Merciful because with His grace, I was finally able to complete my thesis project successfully within the stipulated time frame to achieve the requirements for the Degree of Master Mechanical Engineering.

First and foremost I offer my sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Muhd. Hafeez Bin Zainulabidin who has supported me throughout my thesis with his patience and knowledge whilst allowing to work in my own way. I attribute the level of my Master's degree to both encouragement also effort and without him, this thesis would not have been completed or written. One simply could not wish for a better or friendlier supervisor.

Thousands of thanks also goes to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Angzzas Sari Binti Mohd Kassim, Mr. Md. Zainorin Bin Masron, Mr. Hj. Mohd Tarmizi Bin Nasir, Mr. Anuar Bin Ismail and Mr. Fazlannuddin Hanur Bin Harith, a fine lecturer and technician who kept helped me in Chemical, Noise & Vibration, Material and Ceramics Laboratory. All of them also inadvertently, and without fail, provided something much greater in years I've known them: a friendly smile and a hello every time met.

Finally, I would also like to express my gratitude to my loving parent (Ahmad Nordin Bin Mohamad & Azizah Binti Saraju) and friends (Azlan, Norman, Anwar, Ainaa Nabilla, Naim, Apis, Mat Arep, Yassin, Faridz, Ammar, Jul) who had helped, taught, supported and shared their experiences during the study period as well as to all those involved directly or indirectly in helping me to complete this thesis project.

## ABSTRACT

In the past few decades, synthetic fibers are been used widely in the field of sound absorption due to their superior characteristics such as durable and chemical resistant. However, there are several disadvantages of synthetic fibers such as non-biodegradability and hazards to the health of human. In this research, the natural sound absorber from cogon grass was investigated. The objective of the research was to evaluate the performance of cogon grass physical characteristics on its acoustical behavior, to evaluate the effect of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) treatment times on physical and acoustical characteristics of cogon grass, to investigate the decay effects after it was left over for twelve months and lastly to compare and verify the acoustical results with theoretical models based on (Delany-Bazley and Miki Model). The measurement of acoustical characteristics which are sound absorption coefficient (SAC) and noise reduction coefficient (NRC) were done by using impedance tube method (ITM). The samples of cogon grass were tested in a way of the untreated and treated with NaOH in varied soaked hours which are one, two, three, four and five hours. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) and density kit were used to investigate physical characteristics. The research confirmed that physical characteristics of tortuosity and airflow resistivity values tend to increase with the increment of treatment times, but the density and porosity tend to decrease. Untreated samples were tested with varied thicknesses of 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50mm. The results show SAC value increases when the thickness of the sample was increased. Treated samples results show the least treated sample (1 hour) reached the maximum SAC value and indicated the highest value of NRC which is 0.50. The results also show a reduction in sound absorption value after the samples were left for twelve months. Verification parts demonstrated that Delany-Bazley and Miki Model can predict approximately pattern compared with ITM results because of the theoretical models are developed by a simple empirical model approach. Overall, cogon grass samples have the good characteristics to be an acoustic material component.

## ABSTRAK

Beberapa dekad yang lalu, serat sintetik digunakan secara meluas dalam bidang penyerapan bunyi disebabkan oleh ciri unggulnya seperti tahan lama dan tahan kimia. Walaubagaimanapun, terdapat kelemahan gentian sintetik seperti non-biodegradibiliti dan memberi bahaya kepada kesihatan manusia. Dalam kajian ini, penyerap bunyi semula jadi daripada lalang telah disiasat. Objektif penyelidikan ini adalah menilai prestasi ciri fizikal lalang pada sifat akustik, untuk menilai kesan rawatan natrium hidroksida (NaOH) terhadap lalang pada ciri fizikal dan akustik, untuk menyiasat kesan kerosakan selepas dua belas bulan terbiar dan terakhir untuk membandingkan dan mengesahkan hasil akustik dengan model teori (Delany-Bazley dan Miki Model). Pengukuran ciri akustik seperti pekali penyerapan bunyi (SAC) dan pekali pengurangan bunyi (NRC) dilakukan dengan menggunakan kaedah tiub impedans (ITM). Sampel lalang diuji dengan cara tidak dirawat dan dirawat dengan NaOH dalam rendaman jam yang berbeza iaitu 1, 2, 3, 4 dan 5 jam. Pengimbasan mikroskop elektron (SEM) dan kit ketumpatan digunakan untuk menyiasat ciri fizikal. Penyelidikan mengesahkan bahawa nilai ciri fizikal untuk kedalaman liang dan kerintangan aliran udara meningkat selari dengan peningkatan masa rawatan, tetapi kepadatan dan keliangan cenderung untuk menurun. Sampel yang tidak dirawat telah diuji dengan ketebalan berbeza iaitu 10, 20, 30, 40 dan 50 mm. Keputusannya, nilai SAC meningkat apabila ketebalan sampel meningkat. Keputusan sampel yang dirawat menunjukkan, rawatan jam paling kurang (1 jam) mencapai nilai maksimum SAC dan memperoleh nilai tertinggi NRC iaitu 0.50. Keputusan juga menunjukkan nilai penyerapan bunyi berkurangan selepas ditinggalkan selama dua belas bulan. Bahagian pengesahan menunjukkan bahawa Delany-Bazley dan Model Miki meramalkan corak hampir sama dengan keputusan ITM kerana model teori dikembangkan dengan pendekatan model empirikal yang mudah. Secara keseluruhan, sampel lalang masih mempunyai ciri yang baik untuk menjadi komponen bahan akustik.

## CONTENTS

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
 <b>CHAPTER 1</b>	
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problems Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Scopes	5
1.5 Significance of Study	6

<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Acoustics	9
2.3	Type of Sound	10
2.3.1	Sound Reflectance	11
2.3.2	Sound Transmittance	12
2.3.3	Sound Absorption	13
2.4	Sound Absorptive Materials	14
2.4.1	Resonant Absorption	14
2.4.1.1	Helmholtz Resonators	15
2.4.1.2	Membrane Resonators	16
2.4.2	Porous Absorber	18
2.5	Natural Fiber (Cogon Grass)	20
2.6	Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH) treatment	26
2.7	The Sound Absorption Mechanism in Porous Absorbers	29
2.8	Factors That Can Give Effect To The Sound Absorption	30
2.8.1	Thickness ( $t$ )	31
2.8.2	Density ( $\rho$ )	32
2.8.3	Porosity ( $\Phi$ )	33
2.8.4	Tortuosity ( $\alpha_{\infty}$ )	35
2.8.5	Airflow resistivity ( $\sigma$ )	37
2.8.6	Characteristic lengths	40
2.9	Acoustical Properties of Porous Absorbers	42
2.9.1	Sound Absorption Coefficient (SAC)	42
2.9.2	Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC)	45
2.10	Impedance Tube Method (ITM)	48
2.11	Acoustical Model Prediction	51
2.11.1	Delany-Bazley Model	56
2.11.2	Miki Model	58
2.12	Summary	58



<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>59</b>
3.1	Introduction	59
3.2	Material Preparation	61
3.2.1	Cogon Grass Fiber Preparation	61
3.2.2	Sodium Hydroxide Preparation	62
3.3	Sample Preparation	66
3.3.1	Sample Treatments with Sodium Hydroxide	68
3.3.2	Sample Treatments without Sodium Hydroxide	69
3.4	Laboratory Testing	70
3.4.1	Acoustical Properties Measurement	70
3.4.1.1	Sound Absorption Coefficient ( $\alpha$ )	70
3.4.1.2	Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC)	72
3.4.1.3	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	72
3.4.2	Physical Properties Measurement	74
3.4.2.1	Density Measurement	74
3.4.2.2	Porosity Measurement	75
3.4.2.3	Tortuosity Measurement	76
3.4.2.4	Airflow resistivity Measurement	77
3.4.2.5	Thickness Measurement	78
3.4.3	Decay Measurement	80
3.4.4	Theoretical Model Prediction Measurement	80
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS &amp; DISCUSSION</b>	<b>81</b>
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	Physical Characteristics of Cogon Grass	81
4.2.1	Density ( $\rho$ )	82
4.2.2	Porosity ( $\Phi$ )	83
4.2.3	Tortuosity ( $\alpha_{\infty}$ )	84
4.2.4	Airflow resistivity ( $\sigma$ )	85

4.3	Scanning Electron Microscope Analysis	86
4.4	Acoustical Characteristics of Materials	89
4.4.1	Sound Absorption Coefficient ( $\alpha$ )	89
4.4.1.1	Sound Absorption Coefficient of Untreated Cogon Grass Sample	90
4.4.1.2	Sound Absorption Coefficient of Treated Cogon Grass Sample	92
4.4.1.3	Comparison with Rockwool	94
4.4.2	Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC)	96
4.4.3	Influence of Physical Characteristics on NRC	97
4.4.3.1	Density	97
4.4.3.2	Porosity	98
4.4.3.3	Tortuosity	99
4.4.3.4	Airflow Resistivity	100
4.5	Decay	101
4.6	Acoustical Model Prediction	103
4.6.1	Delany-Bazley Model	103
4.6.2	Miki Model	104
4.6.3	Summary of Model Prediction	104
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>105</b>
5.1	Conclusion	105
5.2	Recommendations	108
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>109</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>132</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>139</b>
	<b>VITA</b>	<b>140</b>

**LIST OF TABLES**

2.1	Sound absorption of common absorber material	44
2.2	General NRC for common material	46
2.3	The common prediction model for a large number and parameters required of the model	54
2.4	Coefficients and degrees in the Delany-Bazley model	57
2.5	Coefficients and degrees in the Miki model	58
3.1	Cogon grass fibers samples with diameter 28mm and 100mm from front view	79
4.1	Sound absorption coefficient for low to high frequency with varied thickness	91
4.2	Sound absorption coefficient for low to high frequency with varied times treatment.	93
4.3	Noise reduction coefficient of the samples	96

## LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Sound reflection in the room	11
2.2	Sound transmission into adjacent room	12
2.3	Absorption on a wall with acoustic material	13
2.4	Helmholtz absorber curve	15
2.5	Membrane absorber curve	17
2.6	Sound Absorption Characteristics of Absorbers	19
2.7	Natural Fibers Classification	20
2.8	Cogon grass or its scientific name is <i>Imperata Cylindrica</i>	25
2.9	Sodium hydroxide	26
2.10	View secondary layer of fiber cell	28
2.11	Typical structure (a) untreated and (b) alkali treated	28
2.12	The influencing factor of thickness on sound absorption coefficient	31
2.13	The influencing factor of density on sound absorption coefficient	32
2.14	The influencing factor of porosity on sound absorption coefficient	34
2.15	The influencing factor of tortuosity on sound absorption coefficient	35
2.16	The method of direct airflow to measure airflow resistivity	38
2.17	The method of indirect airflow to measure airflow resistivity	39
2.18	The schematic of connected pores	41
2.19	Sound Absorption and reflection	43
2.20	The Standing Wave Apparatus of Bruel & Kjaer	49
2.21	Schematic of the impedance tube method	50
2.22	The common prediction model with different number of parameters and material morphology	53

3.1	The flow chart of <i>Imperata Cylindrica</i> 's experimental work	60
3.2	The cogon grass picked process, chopped process and sundried process	61
3.3	Sodium hydroxide is ready to undergo the dilution process	62
3.4	Sodium hydroxide is measured by analytical balance	63
3.5	Sodium hydroxide in a 50 ml beaker	63
3.6	The beaker placed on the hotplate stirrer	64
3.7	Medium container filled with ice with hot beaker	64
3.8	(a) distilled water machine, (b) volumetric flask using filter funnel	65
3.9	Sample mould	66
3.10	Compressing tool	66
3.11	Digital weighted machine	67
3.12	Sodium hydroxide water with 6 percent concentration	67
3.13	One of example fibers is soaked with Sodium hydroxide water for 4 hours	68
3.14	Fibers will be placed on aluminum foil to undergo drying process	69
3.15	The original cogon grass	69
3.16	Impedance tube kit	71
3.17	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	72
3.18	Coating process on the specimen using FISON SEM coating system	73
3.19	Metler Toledo density kits	74
3.20	The kits	75
3.21	Cogon grass fibers sample with diameter 28 mm and 100 mm from top view	78
4.1	Density of the samples with 50mm thickness	82
4.2	Porosity of the samples with 50mm thickness	83
4.3	Tortuosity of the samples with 50mm thickness	84
4.4	Airflow resistivity of the samples with 50mm thickness	85
4.5	SEM micrographs of untreated and treated samples (x200 magnification)	87
4.6	SEM micrographs of untreated and treated samples (x1000 magnification)	88
4.7	Sound absorption coefficient of untreated cogon grass	90
4.8	Sound absorption coefficient of treated cogon grass	92

4.9	A comparison between of untreated, 1 hour and 2 hours treatment with Rockwool fibers	94
4.10	Influence of density at 50 mm thickness	97
4.11	Influence of porosity at 50 mm thickness	98
4.12	Influence of tortuosity at 50 mm thickness	99
4.13	Influence of airflow resistivity at 50 mm thickness	100
4.14	Decay test after left over for twelve months	101
4.15	Comparison between SAC and Delany-Bazley Model	103
4.16	Comparison between SAC and Miki Model	104



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$f$	-	Frequency
$\lambda$	-	Wavelength
$v$	-	Velocity of sound
$f_0$	-	Resonance frequency
$c$	-	Speed of sound
$s$	-	Neck opening area
$v$	-	Volume of the void
$l$	-	Depth of neck
$b$	-	Radius of neck opening
$m$	-	Mass by surface
$d$	-	Displacement between membrane and rigid wall
$t$	-	Thickness
$\rho$	-	Density
$\Phi$	-	Porosity
$\alpha_\infty$	-	Tortuosity
$\sigma$	-	Airflow resistivity
$v_0$	-	Volume of the void space
$v_T$	-	Total volume of the porous material
$R_s$	-	Electrical resistance of the porous material impregnated with the conducting fluid
$R_f$	-	Electrical resistance of the conducting fluid is measured alone
$\Delta P$	-	Pressure drop when across the sample
$U$	-	Volume flow

$d$	-	Sample thickness
$M$	-	Mass of the piston
$g$	-	Acceleration via gravity
$A_p$	-	Cross-sectional area of the piston
$S_s$	-	Cross-sectional area of the samples
$v$	-	Terminal velocity of the piston
$\Lambda$	-	Viscous characteristic length
$s$	-	Constant value of scattering coefficient
$\eta$	-	Viscosity of air
$r$	-	Diameter of fiber
$l$	-	Length of fiber
Pa	-	Pascal
$\Lambda'$	-	Thermal characteristic length
$V_p$	-	Volume of the pores
$S_p$	-	Surface of the area
$\alpha$	-	Sound absorption coefficient
$I_a$	-	Sound intensity absorbed
$I_i$	-	Incident sound energy
$\gamma$	-	Wave propagation number
$Z_c$	-	Characteristic acoustic impedance
$R$	-	The real component
$X$	-	The imaginary component
$\alpha$	-	Attenuation constant
$\beta$	-	Phase constant
$\omega$	-	Angular frequency
$\rho_0$	-	Density describes value in the air
$c_0$	-	Speed of air in the air
$N_p$	-	Fluid Prandtl number
$\delta_v$	-	Viscous boundary layer thickness
$\delta_h$	-	Thermal boundary layer thickness
$C_p$	-	Specific heat capacity of air at constant pressure
$\kappa$	-	Thermal conductivity of air



$W_s$	-	Saturated sample weight
$W_d$	-	Dry sample weight
$W_i$	-	Saturated immersed sample weight
$\rho_s$	-	Density of saturating liquid



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

dB	-	Decibel
i.e.	-	For Example
Hz	-	Hertz
WCED	-	World Commission on Environment and Development
NaOH	-	Sodium hydroxide
SEM	-	Scanning electron microscope
WHO	-	World Health Organization
OSHA	-	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PU	-	Polyurethane
PVA	-	Polyvinyl Acrylic
NRC	-	Noise reduction coefficient
SAC	-	Sound absorption absorption
STL	-	Sound transmission loss
STC	-	Sound transmission class
Sdn.	-	Sendirian
Bhd.	-	Berhad
PPE	-	Personal protective equipment
ITM	-	Impedance tube method
UTHM	-	Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

A	Research finding in natural fibers sounds absorbing material	132
B	Framework study of acoustical characteristics of reinforced natural fibers	133
C	Safety data sheet of sodium hydroxide (NaOH)	134



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

Noise is derived from the Latin word “nausea” implying ‘unwanted sound’ or ‘sound that is loud, unpleasant or unexpected’. The noise originates from human activities, especially the urbanization and development of transports and industries. Though, the urban population is much more affected by such pollution, however, small towns or villages along side roads or industries are also victims of this problem. Noise is becoming an increasingly omnipresent, yet unnoticed form of pollution even in developed countries. According to the recent study, road traffic, jet planes, garbage trucks, construction equipment, manufacturing processes and lawn mowers are some of the major sources of these unwanted sounds that are routinely broadcasted into the air (Birgitta & Lindvall, 1995).

Sources of noise pollution include inter alia, vehicular traffic, neighborhood, electrical appliances, television and music system, public address systems, railway and air traffic, and generating sets. Most of the people inhabiting metropolitan cities or big towns and those working in factories are susceptible to the adverse effects of noise. Characteristically, it affects the rich and the poor alike. The problem of noise pollution is less in small towns and villages. But, those residing in villages or towns along the national or state highways or close to railway tracks do bear the brunt of excessive noise. It may cause deafness, nervous breakdown, mental disorder, heart troubles and high blood pressure, head-aches, dizziness, inefficiency and insomnia (Bhargawa, 2001).

In the last 40 to 50 years, the use and variety of available specialized sound absorbing materials has increased greatly. Use of synthetic fibers and fibrous acoustic materials is still frequently found especially in building acoustics as well as in noise control applications because of their high performance at mid-high frequency range. The common acoustical panels that are made from synthetic fibers are known for their toxicity and polluting effects which are harmful to human health as well as to the environment. Synthetic fibers made from minerals and polymers are used mostly for sound absorption and thermal isolation. The products such as glass and rock wool made from minerals have been presented that their production can release more carbon dioxide into the atmosphere compared to those made from natural materials (Asdrubali, 2006).

In the 1970s, a series of events related to public health concerns made makers of sound-absorbing materials change the main constituents of their products from synthetic fibers to the natural or green fiber technology. The use of natural fibers in manufacturing sound absorbing materials has received much attention (Ballagh, 1996). Natural fibers are chosen to be alternative materials because they have very low toxicity which is good to protect the environment (D'Alessandro & Pispola, 2005). Natural fibers are essentially completely biodegradable and modern technical developments have made natural fibers processing more economical and environmentally friendly. These new methods may result in increased use of high quality fibers at competitive prices for industrial purposes. The absorption properties of sound-absorbing materials made of these fibers can be similar to those made from minerals. In addition, natural fibers are also safer for human health compared with most mineral synthetic fibers, since they do not need precautions in handling.

For over past century, porous absorbers are the most common material used as a sound absorption. Therefore, porous materials have been found to be very useful for the control of noise because they absorb most of the sound energy striking them and reflect very little. Common porous absorbers include carpets, aerated plaster, mineral wool, textiles, clothing, curtains, and certain types of foam plastic, fall into this category. They are used in a variety of locations such as the place which produce an unpleasant sound, roaring sound in the room, in other words a lack of acoustic comfort.

## 1.2 Problems Statement

At present, noise pollution is a slow and subtle killer, yet very little efforts have been made to ameliorate the same. It is, along with other types of pollution has become a hazard to quality of life. Relatively low levels of noise affect human health adversely (Kiernan, 1997). It may cause hypertension, disrupt sleep and or hinder cognitive development in children. The effects of excessive noise could be so severe that either there is a permanent loss of memory or psychiatric disorder (Bond, 1996). Thus, there are many adverse effects of excessive noise or sudden exposure to noise. The main characteristic of a sound absorbing material is its ability to absorb most of the sound energy that strike them, hence making them very useful for the control of noise (Crocker & Arenas, 2007). Although a wide range of synthetic sound-absorbing materials exist, recent issues on human health and negative effects of pollutions have increased the public awareness and push forward the demand for environmental friendly materials, less contaminating processes and recycled products (Arenas & Crocker, 2010). On other scenario, generation of solid waste from agricultural activities has caused several problems on-site such as disposal issues, hazards to workers as well as becoming a breeding ground for vermin and other pests carrying diseases (Reddy & Yang, 2005).

In order to support green environment campaign, acoustic absorbers from natural materials are used due to their biodegradability and sustainability. Sustainable is now seen to be pervasive (Lubben & Pitt, 2009). In 1987, World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED) defined the definition of sustainable; also known as the Brundtland Report, stated that "*Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs*" (Brundtland, 1987). However, most of the natural fibers are left abandoned all over the world such as cogon grass. Cogon grass (*Imperata Cylindrica*) has become a major problem for landowners, land managers and foresters. Cogon grass is considered as one of the world's worst weeds. Known to many as "Jap grass", cogon grass was accidentally introduced into Alabama near Grand Bay about 1911 as seed in packing materials from Japan (Dickens, 1974). Seed of cogon grass is variable and seed must land on bare ground for germination (Dickens, 1973). Rhizomes

of cogon grass are white, segmented, branched and have been found extending 48 inches below the soil surface. It can extend about 10 feet yearly from an established plant (Yager, Miller & Jones, 2005). Cogon grass is opportunistic and invades a wide range of non-cultivated habitats including forests, pastures, orchards and waste areas. The weed is commonly known as a pest especially to farmers because crops are disturbed by the weed. As these wastes cannot be used as food, cogon grass usually is burned in order to clear the field for the next crop. Cogon grass is a fire adapted species, meaning that it thrives where fire is a regular occurrence (Bryson & Carter, 1993). In other parts of the world, such as Southeast Asia, cogon grass has been very beneficial. The weed has numerous uses, from edible to medicinal uses. The roots are tough to chew but contain starch and sugar. The ash of this plant can be used as a salt substitute. Some medicinal uses include if you have a painful outgrowth at the tongue. In Philippines, cogon grass has been used primarily for crafts such as baskets, bags like purses and a wide variety of decorative purposes.

Regarding to the environmental concerns, the aim of this research is to determine the performance of the natural fibers material which is cogon grass, in terms of sound absorption coefficient, in becoming alternative sound absorbing materials. Even so many benefits of natural fibers, there are still drawbacks or problems related with these natural fibers. Untreated natural fibers are not so good at high temperature and leads to poor interface between the fibers matrix. To make natural fibers as a good option to be as an absorber, it is required that the natural fibers undergo the process of treatments in order to improve the compatibility between the matrix and the fibers (Bella, Fiore & Valenza, 2010). Most of the treatments used on natural fibers are using sodium hydroxide (NaOH). This treatment removes the wax, lignin and oil covering the natural fibers that lead to a better interlocking matrix and fibers (Manalo *et al.*, 2015). Other problem of natural fibers is they do not possess a long-lasting characteristic. It still needs a treatment with NaOH to cope the problem. The treatment gives resistance to the natural fibers against fungal decay (Xie *et al.*, 2010). Along the number of problems, cogon grass is still one of good natural fibers to replace synthetic fibers such as glass wool, rock wool and asbestos.

### 1.3 Objectives

The objectives of the study are:

- i. To evaluate the performance of physical characteristics on the acoustical behavior of cogon grass.
- ii. To evaluate the effect of NaOH treatment time on physical and acoustical characteristics of cogon grass.
- iii. To investigate the effect of decay on acoustical characteristics.
- iv. To verify the acoustical characteristics obtained experimentally with the theoretical model.

### 1.4 Scopes

The study of sound is a broad field. The basic principle of the acoustic field must be understood preferably before the start of the study. This understanding can be obtained through reading materials related to sound. The scopes of this study are:

- i. The sample will be made of cogon grass fibers or its scientific name is *Imperata Cylindrica*.
- ii. Cogon grass fibers will undergo treatment with and without sodium hydroxide (NaOH).
- iii. Cogon grass fibers will be soaked with 6 % of NaOH at varied times soaked for 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 hours.
- iv. Physical properties such as density, porosity, tortuosity, airflow resistivity and thickness will be tested on cogon grass fibers.
- v. Acoustical properties such as sound absorption coefficient and noise reduction coefficient will be determined after physical properties testing in laboratory.
- vi. Decay test were performed to investigate whether it still can make a good absorption or not after cogon grass was left over for a twelve months.



## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, Y., Putra, A., Effendy, H., Farid, W. M., & Ayob, R. (2011). Dried Paddy Straw Fibers as an Acoustic Absorber : A Preliminary Study, 52 – 56.
- Alawar, A., Hamed, A. M., Al-Kaabi, K., (2009). Characterization of treated date palm tree fiber as composite reinforcement. *Compos. Part B-Eng* 40 (7), 601-606.
- Alessandro, D. F., & Pispola, G. (2005). Sound Absorption Properties of Sustainable Fibrous Materials in an Enhanced Reverberation Room. *The Congress and Exposition on Noise Control Engineering*. Proc. Of Inter-Noise, 1-10.
- Allard, J. F. (1993). *Propagation of sound in Porous media. Modeling sound absorbing materials*. Chapter 11, Elsevier Applied Science, London.
- Allard, J. F., Depollier, C., Nicolas, J., & Lauriks, W. (1990). A. *Propriétés acoustiques des matériaux poreux saturés d'air et théorie de Biot*. *J Acoust* 1990;3:29-38.
- Al-Oqla F. M., & Sapuan, S. M. (2014). “Natural fiber reinforced polymer composites in industrial applications: Feasibility of date palm fibers for sustainable automotive industry,” *Journal of Cleaner Production* 66, 347-354.
- Alton, E. (2001). *The Master Handbook of Acoustics*. (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. p166-167.

- Amare, S., Sujatmika, E., Hong, T. W., Durairaj, R., & Hamid, H. S. H. B. (2017). A Review: Characteristics of Noise Absorption Material. *Journal of Physics Conference Series* 908(1).
- Antonio, Q. R. (2010). *Measurement of the sound-absorption coefficient on egg cartons using the tone burst method*. World Scientific and Engineering Academy and Society. p. 24-29.
- Anuar, H., Ahmad, S., Rasid, R., Ahmad, A., Busu, W., (2008). Mechanical properties and dynamic mechanical analysis of thermoplastic-natural-rubber-reinforced short carbon fiber and kenaf fiber hybrid composites. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 107 (6), 4043e4052.
- APMR (Acoustical Porous Material Recipes). (2018). *Overview of the Different Motionless Skeleton Models*. Retrieved August 20, 2018.
- Arenas, P. J., & Crocker, M. J. (2010). Recent Trends in Porous Sound Absorbing Material. *Material References Issue, 13*. 12-17.
- Asdrubali, F. (2006). Survey on the acoustical properties of new sustainable materials for noise control, *Proceedings of 202 Euro noise*, Finland.
- Asdrubali, F., Alessandro, F. D., & Schiavoni, S. (2008). Sound Absorbing Properties of Materials Made of Rubber Crumbs. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 8(2) 35-40.
- Atmaca, E., Peker, I., & Altin, A. (2005). Industrial Noise and Its Effects on Humans. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 14(6). 721-726.
- Attenborough, K. (1983). *Acoustical characteristics of rigid fibrous absorbent and granular materials*. *J Acoust Soc Am*;73(3):785-99.

- Ayoub, M., Nor, M. J. M., Amin, N., Zulkifli, R., Fouladi, M.H., & Ismail, A.R.I. (2009). Analysis on Sound Absorption of Natural Coir Fiber Using Delany-Bazley Model. *Proceedings of the Conference on Mechanical Engineering (ICME2009)*. Bangladesh: December 26-28.
- Bachtiar, D., Sapuan, S. M., & Hamdan, M. M. (2010). *Flexural Properties Of Alkaline Treated Sugar Palm Fibre Reinforced Epoxy Composites*. International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering. Volume 1, pp.79-90.
- Badri, K., & Amin, K. A. M. (2006). Biocomposites from Oil Palm Resources. *Journal of Oil Palm Resources*, 103-113.
- Bai, M. R., Lo, Y. Y. & Chen, Y. S. (2015). "Impedance measurement techniques for one-port and two-port networks," The Journal of Acoustical Society of America, p. 2279.
- Ballagh, K. O. (1996). Acoustical Properties Of Wool. *Applied Acoustics*, 48 (2), 101-120.
- Barron, M. (2009). *Auditorium Acoustics and Architectural design*: London: Spon Press.
- Bear, J. (1972). *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*. Elsevier, New York.
- Bella, G. D., V. Fiore, & A. Valenza. (2010). Effect of areal weight and chemical treatment on the mechanical properties of bidirectional flax fabrics reinforced composites. *Materials and Design* 31: 4098–4103.
- Beranek, L. L. (1993). *Acoustical Measurements*. Acoustical Society of America, New York.

- Bhargawa, G. (2001). *Development of India's Urban and Regional Planning in 21st Century*. Gian Publishing House, New Delhi, pp.115-116.
- Bies, D. A., & Hansen, C. H. (1980). "Flow resistance information for acoustical design," *Appl. Acoust.* 13, 357–391.
- Bilova, M. & Lumnitzer, E. (2011). 'Acoustical parameters of porous materials and their measurement', *Acta Technica Corviniensis – Bulletin of Engineering*, Vol. 4, pp.39–42.
- Binggeli, C & Greichen, P. (2011). *Interior Graphic Standards*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Biot, M. A. (1956). *Theory of propagation of elastic waves in a fluid-saturated porous solid. I. Low-frequency range. II. Higher frequency range.* *J Acoust Soc Am*;28:168–91.
- Biot, M. A. (1962). Generalized Theory of Acoustic Propagation in Porous Dissipative Media. *Journal of The Acoustical Society of America*, 34(5) I, 1254-1264.
- Birgitta, B., & Lindvall, T. (1995). A Draft Document of Community Noise. *Who Environmental Health Criteria 12*, World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Blauert, J. (1983). *Spatial Hearing*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Bledzki, A., & Gassan, J., (1999). Composites reinforced with cellulose based fibres. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 24 (2), 221-274.
- Bond, M. (1996). Plagued by noise. *New Scientist*, November 16: 14-15.

- Brüel & Kjær (1967). "Instructions and Applications" for Standing Wave Apparatus Type 4002 and Frequency Analyzer Type 2107.
- Bradbury, L. J. S. (1976). The Use of Fibrous Materials in Loudspeaker Enclosures. Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Surrey, England JAES Volume 24 Issue 3 pp. 162-170; April.
- Brewer, J. S., & Cralle, S. P. (2003). *Phosphorus addition reduces invasion of a longleaf pine savanna (Southeastern USA) by a non-indigenous grass (Imperata cylindrica)*. Plant Ecology. 167:237-245.
- Brundtland, G. H. (1987). *Our common future: Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development*. United Nations on Environment & Development, United Nations.
- Bryson, C. T. & Carter, R. (1993). Cogon grass, *Imperata cylindrica*, in the United States. Weed Technol. 7:1005-1009.
- Buyukakinci, Y., Sokmen, N., & Kucuk, H. (2011). Thermal conductivity and acoustic properties of natural fiber mixed polyurethane composites. *J Mater Sci*, 36, 2107.
- Cao, Y., Shibata, S., Fukumoto, I. (2006). *Mechanical properties of biodegradable composites reinforced with bagasse fibre before and after alkali treatments*, Composites: Part A, 37, 423-429.
- Castagnede, B., Aknine, A., Melon, M., & Depollier, C. (1998). Ultrasonics 36, 323.
- Cavanaugh, W. J. & Wilkes, J. A. (1999). *Architectural Acoustics: Principles and Practice*, Canada: John Wiley & Son, Inc.

- Champoux Y., & Allard J. F. (1991). *Dynamic tortuosity and bulk modulus in air-saturated porous media*, Journal Applied Physic., 70, 1991, pp. 1975.
- Champoux, Y., Stinson, M. R. & Daigle, G. A. (1991). 'Air-based system for the measurement of porosity', Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 89, No. 2, pp.910–916.
- Chandramohan, D., & Marimuthu, K. (2011). A Review on natural Fibers. *International Journal of Research and Reviews in Applied Sciences*, 8(2), 194-206.
- Chao, N. W., & Jiunn, H. T. (2001). Experimental Study of The Absorption Characteristics Of Some Porous Fibrous Materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 62, 447-459.
- Chauhan, A. (2008). Study of noise pollution level in different places of Haridwar and Dehradun city (India). *Environment Conservation Journal*, 9(3): 21-25.
- Chen, D., Li, J., & Ren, J. (2010). Study on Sound Absorption Property of Rami Fibre Reinforced Poly(L-Lactic Acid) Composites: Morphology and Properties. *Composites: Part A* 41, 1012-1018.
- Cheng, A., Lin, W. T., & Huang, R. (2011). *Application of rock wool waste in cement – based composites*. Materials and Design. 32, 636–642.
- Chien, M. K., & Shih, L. H. (2007). An empirical study of the implementation of green supply chain management practices in the electrical and electronic industry and their relation to organizational performances. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech.* 4 (3), 383-394.

- Chikoye, D., Ekeleme, F., & Ambe. J. T. (1999). Survey of distribution and farmer perceptions of speargrass (*Imperata cylindrica*) in cassava based cropping systems in West Africa. *Int. J. Pest Mgmt.* 45:305-312.
- Chikoye, D., Manyong, V . M., & Ekeleme, F. (2000). *Characteristics of spear grass (Imperata cylindrica) dominated fields in West Africa: crops, soil properties, farmer perceptions and management strategies.* *Crop Protect.* 19:481-487.
- Clennell, M. B. (1997). *Tortuosity: a guide through the maze.* Geological Society, London, Special Publications. p. 299-344.
- Coates, M., & Kierzkowski, M. (2002). *Acoustic Textiles Lighter, Thinner And More Absorbent*, Technical Textiles International.
- Cowan, J. P. (1994). *Handbook of Environmental Acoustics.* New York : ITP Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Cox, T. J., & D'Antonio. P. (2010). *Acoustics Absorbers and Diffusers: Theory, Design and Application 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.* *Noise Control Eng. J.* 58 (4), 156-195.
- Cox, T. J., & D'Antonio. P. (2004). *Acoustics Absorbers and Diffusers: Theory, Design and Application.* New York: Spon Press.
- Cremer, L. (1989). *Early Lateral Reflections in Some Modern Halls.* *Acoustical Society of America*, 85, 1213-1225.
- Crocker, M. J., & Arenas, J. P. (2007). "Use of sound absorbing materials," *Chapter 57 in Handbook of Noise and Vibration Control* (M.J. Crocker, Ed.), John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Crocker, M. J. (1998). *Handbook of Acoustics.* Canada: A Wiley-Interscience, 460pp.

- D'Alessandro, F., & Pispola, G. (2005). *Sound absorption properties of sustainable fibrous materials in an enhanced reverberation room*. Paper presented in The 2005 Congress and Exposition on Noise Control Engineering, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. 07-10 August.
- D'Antonio, P. (2008). *Acoustic Absorbers And Diffusers: Theory, Design And Application*. London :Spon Press.
- Delany, M. E., & Bazley, E. N. (1970). *Acoustical properties of fibrous absorbent materials*. *Appl Acoustic*;3:105–16.
- Diamant, P. (1986). *Wave Transmission and Fiber Optics*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Dickens, R. (1973). Control of cogon grass (*Imperata cylindrica*). Alabama Highway Research Report No. 69. State of Alabama Highway Dept & Federal Highway Administration. 90p.
- Dickens, R. (1974). Cogon grass in Alabama after sixty years. *Weed Sci.* 22(2):177-179.
- Dipa, R., Sarkar, B. K., Rana, A. K., & Bose, N. R. (2001). Effect of alkali treated jute fibres on composite properties. *Bulletin of Materials Science*, 24(2), 129-135.
- Dittenber. D. B., & GangRao, H. V. S. (2012). "Critical review of recent publications on use of natural composites in insfrastucture," *Composite Parts A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 43(8), 1419-1429.
- Donald, E. (1987). *'Basic Acoustics'*, Harper & Row. Publishers Inc. New York.



- Doutres, O. & Atalla, N. (2012). "Sound Absorption Properties of Functionally Graded Polyurathane Foams". New York.
- Doutres, O., Salissou, Y., Atalla, N. & Panneton, R. (2010) *Evaluation of the Acoustic and Non-Acoustic Properties of Sound Absorbing Materials Using a Three-Microphone Impedance Tube*. *Applied Acoustics*, 71, 506-509.
- Dunn, I. P., & Davern, W.A. (1986). *Calculation of acoustic impedance of multi-layer absorbers*. *Appl Acoustic*;19:321–34.
- Ersoy, S. & Kucuk, H. (2009). Investigation of Industrial Tea Leaf Fibre Waste Material for Its Sound Absorption Properties. *Applied Acoustics*, 70, 215-220.
- Everest, F. A., & Pohlmann, K. C. (2001). *The master handbook of acoustics* (Volume 4). McGraw-Hill New York.
- Fatima, S. & Mohanty, A. R. (2011). Acoustical and Fire Retardant Properties of Jute Composite Materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 72, 108-114.
- Fouladi, M.H., Nor, M.J.M., Ayub, M.D., Leman, Z.A. (2010). Utilization of Coir Fiber in Multilayer Acoustic Absorption Panel. *Applied Acoustics*, 7, 241– 249.
- Garrity, D. P., Soekadi, M., Noordwijk, M. V., Cruz, R., Pathak, P. S., Gunasena, H. P. M., So, N. V., Huijun, G., & Majid, N. M. (1997). *The Imperata grasslands of tropical Asia: area, distribution, and typology*. *Agrofor. Syst.* 36:3-29.
- Goines, L. & Hagler, L. (2007). *Noise Pollution: A Modern Plague*. *Southern Medical Journal*. March; 100(3):287-293.
- Hamet, J. F. (1992). *Mode'lisation Acoustique d\_un enrobe' Drainant*. *Bron: Rapport INRETS*; p. 159.

- Hammershoi, D., & Moller, H. (1996). *Sound transmission to and within the human ear canal*. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 100(1), 408-427.
- Harris, C. (1975). *Dictionary of Architecture and Construction*. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Helmholtz, H. V. (1885). *On the sensations of tone as physiological basis for the theory of music*. Second English Edition, translated by Alexander J. Ellis. London: Longmans, Green and Co., p. 44. Retrieved 2010-10-12
- Hillel, D. (2004). *Introduction to environmental soil physics*. Academic Press, San Diego
- Ho, K. M., Yang, Z., Zhang, X. X., & Sheng, P. (2005). 'Measurements of sound transmission through panels of locally resonant materials between impedance tubes', *Applied Acoustics*, Elsevier, pp. 751-765.
- Holm, L. G., Puckett, D. L., Pancho, J. B., & Herberger, J. P. (1977). *The World's Worst Weeds: Distribution and Biology*. Univ. Press. of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 609 p.
- Hosseini, F. M., Atub, M., & Jailani, M. N. (2011). Analysis of coir fiber acoustical characteristics. *Applied Acoustics*, 72(1), 35-42.
- Ibrahim, M. A., & Melik, R. W. (1978). *Physical Parameters Affecting Acoustic Absorption Characteristics Of Fibrous Materials*, Proceedings of the mathematical and physical society of Egypt, 46.
- Ingard, K. U., & Dear, T. A. (1985). "Measurement of acoustic flow resistance," *J. Sound Vib.* 103(4), 567-572.

- Ismail, L., Ghazali, M. I., Mahzan, S., Zaidi, A. M. A (2010). Sound Absorption of *Arenga Pinnata* Natural Fiber. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 67. 804-806.
- Jacobsen, F., Poulsen, T., Rindel, J.H., Gade, A.C., & Ohlrich, M. (2010). *Fundamentals of Acoustics and Noise Control*. Technical University of Denmark: Note no 31200.
- Johnson, D. L., Koplik, J., & Dashen, R. (1987). *Theory of dynamic permeability and tortuosity in fluid-saturated porous media*, J. Fluid Mech. 176, pp. 379-402.
- Jose, S., Cox, J., Miller, D. L., Shilling, D. G., & Merritt, S. (2002). *Alien plant invasions: the story of cogongrass in southeastern forests*. J. For. 100:41-44.
- Joseph, P. V. (2001). *Studies on short Sisal Fibre reinforced Isotactic Polypropylene Composites*. PhD Thesis. Mahatma Gandhi University, India.
- Joshi, S. V., Drzal, L. T., & Mohanty, S. A. (2003). Are Natural Fiber Composites Environmentally Superior to Glass Fiber Reinforced Composites?. *Composites: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 35. 371-376.
- Kabir, M. M. (2012). Chemical treatments on plant-based natural fibre reinforced polymer composites: An overview. *Compos. B*, 43, 2883-2892.
- Karl, K. H., & Katrin, K. (2001). *Ergonomics: How To Design For Ease & Efficiency*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Kiernan, V. (1997). Noise pollution robs kids of languages skills. *New Scientist*. May10: 5.

- King, S. E. & Grace, J. B., (2000). *The effects of soil flooding on the establishment of cogongrass (Imperata cylindrica), a nonindigenous invader of the southeastern United States*. Wetlands. 20:300-306.
- Kino, N., & Ueno, T. (2007). Improvement to the Johnson-Allard model for rigid-framed fibrous material. Appl. Acoust. 68: 1468-1484.
- Kino, N., Ueno, T., Suzuki, Y., & Makino, H. (2009). Investigation of non-acoustical parameters of compressed melamine foam materials. Appl. Acoust. 70: 595-604.
- Kinsler, L. A. (1980). *Fundamentals of Acoustics 3rd Edition*. California: John Wiley & Son.
- Klobes, P., Meyer, K., & Munro, R. G. (2006). Porosity and specific surface measurements for solid materials. NIST Recommended Practice Guide.
- Knapen, E., Lanoye, R., Vermeir, G., & Van, G. D. (2003). Sound Absorption By Polymer Modified Porous Cement Mortars. *6th International Conference on Materials Science and Restoration*. MSR- VI Aedificatio Publishers, 347–358.
- Koizumi, T., Tsujiuchi, N., & Adachi, A. (2002). The development of sound absorbing materials using natural bamboo fibers. *High Performance Structures and Composites*, 4, 157-166.
- Koponen, A., Kataja, M., & Timonen, J. (1996). *Tortuous flow in porous media*. Physical Review E. 54, 406.
- Kruger, J. & Quickert, M. (1997). *Determination of Acoustic Absorber Parameter in Impedance Tubes*. Applied Acoustics, 50, 79-89.

- Lambert, R. F. (1982). *The acoustical structure of highly porous open-cell foams*. Journal Acoustic of Society America;72(3):879–87.
- Lawrence, R., & Jiang, Y. (2017). *Porosity, pore size distribution, micro-structure*. In S. Amziane, & F. Collet (Eds.), *Bio-aggregates Based Building Materials* (pp. 39-71).
- Leclaire, P., Kelders, L., Lauriks, W., Melon, M., Brown, N., & Castagnede, B. (1996). *Determination of the viscous and thermal characteristic lengths of plastic foams by ultrasonic measurements in helium and air*. Journal of Applied Physics, 80(4), 2009-2012.
- Leonard, Y. M., & Martin, P. A. (2002). Chemical Modification of Hemp, Sisal, Jute and Kapok Fibres by Alkalislation. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 84(12), 2222 – 2234.
- Leonard, Y. M., Nick, T., & Andrew, J. C., (2007). Mechanical properties of hemp fibre reinforced euphorbia composites. *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering*, 292(9), 993- 1000.
- Lippincott, C. L. (1997). *Ecological consequences of Imperata cylindrica (cogongrass) invasion in Florida sandhill*. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Florida. p.165.
- Long, M. (2006). *Architectural Acoustics*. California: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Mediastika, C. E. (2007). Potential of Paddy Straw as Material Raw of Acoustic Panel. *Architectural Dimension*, 35(2). p.183-189.
- Lu, N. & Oza, S. (2013). A comparative study of the mechanical properties of hemp fiber with virgin and recycled high density polyethylene matrix. *Composite Part B*; 45:1651–1656.

- Lubben, F. & Pitt, J. (2009). The social agenda of education for sustainable development design and technology education: The case of the sustainable design award. *International Journal of Technology & Design Education*, 19:167-186.
- Lusk, S. (2002). *Preventing Noise-Induced Hearing Loss*. *Nurs Clin N Am.* ;37:257-262.
- Manalo, A.C., Wani, E., Zukarnain, N.A., Karunasena, W., Lau, K.-T. (2015). *Effects of alkali treatment and elevated temperature on the mechanical properties of bamboo fibre-polyester composites*. *Composites Part B: Engineering* Volume 80, 10 Jun, Pages 73-83.
- Mansor, M. R., Salit, M. S., Zainuddin, E. S., Aziz, N. A., Ariff, H. (2015). *Life cycle assessment of natural fiber polymer composites*. *Agricultural biomass based potential materials*. Cham: springer; p. 121-41.
- Martin, M. A., Tarrero, M. A., Gonzalez, A. & Machimbarrena, M. (2006). Exposure–effect relationships between road traffic noise annoyance and noise cost valuations in Valladolid, Spain. *J. Appl. Acoustic.*, 67 (10), 945-958. *Material. Material References Issue, 13*. 12-17.
- Matyka, M., Khalili, A., & Koza, Z. (2008). *Tortuosity & porosity relation in porous media flow*. *Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear and Soft Matter Physics*, 78(2), 1- 8.
- Means, R. (2009). *The gypsum construction Handbook*. United States: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Mechel, F. (1994). *Helmholtz Resonators With Slotted Neck Plates*. *Acoustical Society of America* , 80, 321-331.

- Miki, Y., (1990). *Acoustical properties of porous materials - Generalizations of empirical models*, J. Acoust. Soc. Jpn (E). 11(1), pp. 25-28.
- Mishra, S., Mohanty, A., Drzal, L., Misra, M., Parija, S., Nayak, S., & Tripathy, S., (2003). Studies on mechanical performance of biofibre/glass reinforced polyester hybrid composites. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 63 (10), 1377e1385.
- Morse, P. M., & Ingard, K. U. (1968). *Theoretical Acoustics*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Murli, R. D. & Tavis, S. H. (1990). Preparing Standard Sodium Hydroxide Solution. Iowa State University of Science and Technology. 2150 Beardshear Hall, Ames, IA 50011-2031 (800) 262-3804.
- Nick, A., Becker, U. & Thoma, W. (2002). Improved Acoustic Behavior of Interior Part of Renewable Resources in the Automotive Industry. *Journal of Polymers and The Environment*, 10 (3), 115-118.
- Niels, A. L., Eric, W. T. & Anders, C. G. (2007). *The Flexible Bass Absorber*. Acoustic Modeling, p. 7190.
- Nor, M. J. M., Ayub, M., Zulkifli, R., Amin, N. & Fouladi, M. H. (2010). *Effect of different factors on the acoustic absorption of coir fiber*. Volume 10(22): 2887-2892.
- Nor, M. J. M., Jamaludin, N., Tamiri, F.M. (2004). A Preliminary Study of Sound Absorption Using Multi-Layer Coconut Coir Fibers. *Electronic Journal Technical Acoustics*, 3, 1-8.
- Norton, M. P., & Karczub, D. G. (2003). *Fundamentals of Noise and Vibration Analysis for Engineers*. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2003. p. 316.

- Obi, R. K., Sivamohan, R. G., & Uma, M. C. (2010). Structural characterization of coconut tree leafsheath fiber reinforcement. *Journal of Forestry Research*; 21:53–58.
- Oldfield, R. (2006). *Improved Membrane Absorber*. Salford University: Master Theses.
- Pacheco, T. F., Labrincha, J. A., Leonelli, C., & Chindaprasirt, P. (2015). *The fire resistance of alkali-activated cement-based concrete binders*. In *Handbook of Alkali-Activated Cement, Mortars and Concretes*; Wood head Publishing Series in Civil and Structural Engineering: Cambridge, UK; Volume 2015, pp. 423–461.
- Papadopoulos, A. M. (2005). State of the art in thermal insulation materials and aims for future developments. *Energy Build.* p. 77–86.
- Paul, A. W. (2001). *Volume & Density Determinations For Particle Technologists*. Micromeritics Instrument Corp., [www.micromeritics.com](http://www.micromeritics.com).
- Paulain, N., Legeais, V., Roualt, E., Parmentier, D., Boccaccio, G., Dorget, M., & Roux, J.C.L. (2006). Development of an Acoustic absorbent Material Using Scrap Tires. *CTTM-IRC*.
- Pelton, H. (1993). *Noise Control Management*. New York : Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Pitch. (2002).
- Qunli, W. (1988). “Empirical relations between acoustical properties and flow resistivity of porous plastic open-cell foam,” *Appl. Acoust.* 25, 141–148.
- Ramis, J. Alba, J., Rey, L.D., Escuder, E., & Sanchis V.J. (2010). New Absorbent Material Acoustic Based on Kenaf Fibre. *Materiales de Construcción*, 60 (299), 133-143.



- Razak, M.A., Khoo, K.C., & Khozirah, S. (1988). Prospects and Potential Of The Oil Palm Trunk as a Source Of Lignocellulosic Raw Material, *The Malayan Forester*, Vol 51(3):164-175.
- Reddy, N., & Yang, Y. Q. (2005). Biofibers from Agricultural Byproducts for Industrial Applications. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 23, No.1.
- Regecova, V. & Kellerova, E. (2013). *Effects of urban noise pollution on blood pressure and heart rate in preschool children*. *Journal of Hypertension*, 405-412.
- Rossing, T. D., & Beranek, L. L. (2009). Handbook of Acoustics. *American Journal of Physics*.
- Rossing, T. D. (2002). *The Science of Sound*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Addison Wesley, USA.
- Saadatnia, M., Ebrahimi, G., & Tajvidi, M. (2008). Comparing Sound Absorption Characteristic of Acoustic Boards Made of Aspen Particles and Different Percentage of Wheat and Barley straws. *17th World Conference on Nondestructive Testing*. Shanghai: October 25-28.
- Sabri. (2007). *Evaluation of the Acoustical Performance of Natural Fiber as Alternative Material to Control Noise*. Institute of Technology Bandung: Masters Theses.
- Sagartzazu, X., Hervella-Nieto, L., & Pagalday, J. M. (2008). *Review in Sound Absorbing Materials*, *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering* 15(3), 311-342.
- Sakagami, K., Kiyama, M., Marimoto, M., & Takahashi, D. (1996). Sound Absorption of a Cavity-Backed Membrane: A Step Towards Design Method for Membrane-Type Absorbers. *Applied Acoustics*, 49(3), 231-241.

- Schiavi, A. Guglielmone, C., & Miglietta, P. (2011). *Effect and importance of static-load on airflow resistivity determination and its consequences on dynamic stiffness*. Applied Acoustic. p.705-10
- Seddeq, H. S. (2009). Factors influencing acoustic performance of sound absorptive materials. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 3(4), 4610-4617.
- Sethunarayanan, R., Chockalingam, S., & Ramanathan, R. (1989). "Natural fiber reinforced concrete." Transportation Research Record 1226.
- Seybert, A. F. (2010). Notes on absorption and impedance measurements, Astm E1050 pp. 1-6
- Sides, D. J., Attenborough, K., & Mulholland, K. A. (1971). Application of a Generalized Acoustic Properties Theory to Fibrous Absorbers. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* (1), 49-64.
- Snelder, D. J. (2001). *Soil properties of Imperata grasslands and prospects for tree-based farming systems in Northeast Luzon, The Philippines*. Agroforestry Systems. 52:27-40.
- Sonia, R. P., Mercedes, R. M., & Cristina, P. G. (2015). *New Plaster Composite with Mineral Wool Fibres from CDW Recycling*. Advances in Materials Science and Engineering.
- Sreekala, M. S., & Thomas, S. (2003). Effect of fiber surface modification on water-sorption characteristics of oil palm fibers, *Composites Science and Technology*, 63, 861-869.

- Stankevicius, V., Skripkiunas, G., Grinys, A., & Miskinis, K. (2007). Acoustical Characteristics and Physical – Mechanical Properties of Plaster with Rubber Waste Additives. *Material Science*, 13 (4).
- Sun, F., Chen, H., Wu, J., & Feng, K. (2010). Sound Absorbing Characteristics of Fibrous Metal Materials at High Temperatures. *Applied Acoustics*, 71, 221–235.
- Sun, P., & Guo, Z. (2015). *Preparation of steel slag porous sound-absorbing material using coal powder as pore former*. The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.
- Tainton, N. M., Bransby, D. I., & Booysen, P. D. (1983). *Common veld and pasture grasses of natal. Pietermaritzburg (South Africa)*: Shuter and Shooter. pp.116-117.
- Takahashi, Y. T., Otsuru, T. & Tomiku, R. (2005) *In Situ Measurements of Surface Impedance and Absorption Coefficients of Porous Materials Using Two Microphones and Ambient Noise*. *Applied Acoustics* , 66, 845-865.
- Tarnow, V. (1996). Airflow resistivity of model of fibrous acoustic materials. *Journal Acoustical Society of America*. 3706-13.
- Thamae, T. & Baillie, C. (2007). Influence of fiber extraction method, alkali and silane treatment on the interface of Agave Americana waste HDPE composites as possible roof ceilings in Lesotho. *Composite Interface*; 14: 821–836
- Tizianel, J., Allard, J. F., Castagnede, B., Ayrault, C., Henry, M., Moussatov, A., & Gedeon, A. (1999). *Transport parameters and sound propagation in an air-saturated sand*. *Journal of Applied physics*, 86(10), 5829.

- Tormos, D. R., Romina, Fernandez, A., Jesus, & Vicente, S. (2007). Proposal an Empirical Model for Absorbent Acoustical Materials Based in Kenaf. *19<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Acoustics*. Madrid. (1-6).
- Tothill, J. C., & Hacker, J. B. (1973). The grasses of southeast Queensland. St. Lucia, Q. : University of Queensland Press for the Tropical Grassland Society of Australia, Index. Bibliography: p.284-288.
- Umnova, O., Attenborough, K., Shin, H. C., & Cummings, A. (2005). "Deduction of tortuosity and porosity from acoustic reflection and transmission measurements on thick samples of rigid-porous materials," *Appl. Acoust.* vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 607-624, Jun.
- Walter, T. G., Alison, G. K., Benjamin, S., & John, S. R. (2009). *Mechanical and Electrical Equipment for Buildings*. New York: John Willey and Sons, Inc.
- Wang, C. N., Kuo, Y. M., & Chen, S. K. (2008). Effects of compression on the sound absorption of porous materials with an elastic frame. *Appl. Acoust.* 69(1): 31-39.
- Wassilieff, C. (1996). Sound absorption of wood-based materials. *Applied Accoustics*, 48(4), 339-356.
- Wilcut, J. W., Dute, R. R., Truelove, B., & Davis. D. E. (1988) . *Factors limiting the distribution of cogongrass, Imperata cylindrica, and torpedograss, Panicum repens*. *Weed Sci.* 36:577-582.
- Willard, T. R., & Shilling, D. G. (1990). *The influence of growth stage and mowing on competition between Paspalum notatum and Imperata cylindrica*. *Trop. Grassl.* 24:81- 86.

- Wong, K., Yousif, B., Low, K., (2010). The effects of alkali treatment on the interfacial adhesion of bamboo fibres. *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. L. J. Mater. Des. Appl.* 224 (3), 139e148.
- World Health Organization, WHO. (2001). *Occupational and community noise*. Fact sheet 258. [http://www.who.int/peh/Occupational\\_health/OCHweb/OSHpages/OSHDocuments/Factsheets/noise.pdf](http://www.who.int/peh/Occupational_health/OCHweb/OSHpages/OSHDocuments/Factsheets/noise.pdf). Accessed 22 May 2008.
- Xie, Y., Xiao, Z., Grüneberg, T., Militz, H., Hill, C.A.S., Steuernagel, L., Mai, C. (2010). Effects of chemical modification of wood particles with glutaraldehyde and 1,3-dimethylol-4,5-dihydroxyethyleneurea on properties of the resulting polypropylene composites. *Composites Science and Technology* Volume 70, Issue 13, November, Pages 2003-2011
- Xu, J., Sugawara, R., Widyorini, R., Han, G., & Kawai, S. (2004). Manufacture and Properties of Low-Density Binderless Particleboard from Kenaf Core. *The Japan Wood Research Society*, 50, 62-67.
- Xu, M. B., Selamat, A. & Kim, H. (2010). *Dual Helmholtz resonator*. *Applied Acoustics*, 71, 822–829.
- Xue, L., Lope, G. T., & Satyanarayan, P. (2007). Chemical treatment of natural fiber for use in natural fiber-reinforced composites: A review. *Polymer Environment*, 15(1), 25-33.
- Yager, L., Miller, D. L., & Jones, J. (2005). Habitat susceptibility to invasion by cogon grass on Camp Shelby training site, MS. Proceedings of the 7th Annual SEEPPC Conference. Birmingham, AL.

- Yan, L., Chouw, N., Yuan, X. (2012). Improving the mechanical properties of natural fiber fabric reinforced epoxy composites by alkali treatment, *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites* 31, 425-437.
- Zannin, P. H. T., Calixto, A., Diniz, F. B., Ferreira, J. A., & Schuhli, R. B. (2002). Annoyance caused by urban noise to the citizens of Curitiba, Brazil. *Revista de Saude Publica*, 36(4), 521-524.
- Zhang, S., Wang, W. C, Li, F. X., & Yu, J. Y. (2013). Swelling and dissolution of cellulose in NaOH aqueous solvent systems *Cellulose Chem. Technol.* 47 671-9.
- Zhou, H., Bo, L., Guangsu, H., & Jia, H. (2007). A Novel Composite Sound Absorber with Recycled Rubber Particles. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 304, 400-406.
- Zulkifli, R., Nor, M. J. M., Ismail, A. R., Nuawi, M. Z., & Thahir, M. F. M. (2009a). Effect of Perforated Size and Air Gap Thickness on Acoustic Properties of Coir Fibre Sound Absorption Panels. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 28(2), 242-252. ISSN 1450-216X.
- Zulkifli, R., Nor, M. J. M., Ismail, A. R., Nuawi, M. Z., Abdullah, S., Thahir, M. F. M. & Rahman, M. N. A. (2009b). Comparison of Acoustic Properties between Coir Fibre and Oil Plam Fibre. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 33, 144-152. ISSN 1450-216 X.
- Zulkifli, R., Nor, M. J. M., Tahir, M. F. M., Ismail, A. R., & Nuawi, M. Z. (2008). Acoustic Properties of Multi-Layer Coir Fibres Sound Absorption Panel. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 8(20), 3709-3714.

Zulkifli, R., Nor, M. J., Ismail, A. R., Nuawi, M. Z., Abdullah, S., Mat Tahir, M. F., & Rahman, M. N. (2009). Comparison properties between coir fibre and oil palm fibre. *European Journal of Scientific Research*. Vol. 33, No 1, pp 144-152.

Zulkifli, R., Zulkarnain., & Nor, M. J. M. (2010). Noise Control Using Coconut Coir Fiber Sound Absorber with Porous Layer Backing and Perforated Panel. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 7(2), 260-264. ISSN 1546-9239.

Zwikker, C., & Kosten, C. W. (1949). *Sound Absorbing Materials*. New York: Elsevier.



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH